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Revisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>previously unrecorded revisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>New section: Why is there no yellow light?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overview

While there is no concrete evidence of hoax or reality in this case, this document, for the most part, attempts to explain away the many claims of hoax against the drone case. There are some issues left unanswered, and these issues are addressed in this document. Concrete evidence of reality will come when a working ET artifact is presented publicly. Concrete evidence of a hoax will come when the hoaxers in-progress efforts are revealed and has been dated before the case was presented.

While much drama exists around the case, it is the goal of this document to just answer questions related to the case itself.

This document attempts to answer hoax-claims using the principals of physics, photography, statistics, horticulture, history, etc. This document is by no means an exhaustive study of the 1000's of minor accusations against the case.

Hundreds of people have devoted thousands of hours to the answers found in here. You can submit your own comments to droneswitnes@gmail.com
## Glossary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C2CAM</td>
<td>Coast to Coast AM, A radio program specializing in paranormal reports.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARET</td>
<td>Commercial Applications Research for Extraterrestrial Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRT</td>
<td>An international group of people responsible for this FAQ and also happen to be members of OMF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI</td>
<td>Computer Generated Imagery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMH</td>
<td>Linda Moulton-Howe, reporter who was given all drone contact information from he beginning by Coast to Coast AM, interviewed witnesses, and collected the majority of reports on this case to date. She also holds the private contact information for the majority of witnesses. Her website is earthfiles.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUFON</td>
<td>Mutual UFO Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMF</td>
<td>Open Minds Forum (openmindsforum.com). The place where much public research has been done on the drone case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACL</td>
<td>Palo Alto CARET Laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAV</td>
<td>Unmanned Aerial Vehicle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Looks like wire is behind the antenna**

On low resolution images one of the fins appears to be in front of a wire. You must examine the high resolution image to see that it is normal as can be seen in this image.

*Illustration 1: Wires appear in front of drone normally*
**Missing wire in front of drone**

Q: Why does it appear that there is a piece of wire missing in front of the drone?

A: Low resolution photographs were supplied to the public on many websites. Analysis of the original high resolution photograph clearly shows that this missing piece is actually two twisted wires, one black, and another lighter colour wire or support cable.
Didn’t I see that shape somewhere before?

Not surprisingly, humans have the capacity for recognition. We use that ability to recognize our mothers as an infant, and our prey as a hunter. Many theories have been posted that since some part of the drone case looks like something else we've seen, then the drone story is created by humans who used these common images as their inspiration. Here are some of the visual comparisons:

Illustration 3: Statue of Liberty Compared with Raj drone photo

Illustration 4: Double star Eta Carinae, pipeline pig, CARET device
**Capitola pole wire anomalies**

Q: Why do the wires at the top of the pole appear on various angles

A: This is a simple 3D perspective issue. Objects closer to the observer appear larger, objects further from the observer appear closer together as seen by the example shown in Illustration 5.

Also, any slight variations in expected perspective are easily explained by drooping wires that have not been pulled tight.
Is it an Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV)?

Here is an image of a BAE UAV device alongside one of the California drone images. There is little resemblance except the circular design and being aloft.

Ducted fan UAVs produce a considerable noise and wind to keep aloft, none of the witnesses described any such effects, even when they where in very close range. Also, none of the photographs depicted any turbulence on nearby leaves or suspended electrical wires.

Also, ducted fan UAVs require a centrally mounted propeller system, many of the California drone images were taken from below and show nothing mounted in the center.

Is it an Ion propulsion lifter device?

We have discounted the idea of ion wind as the drone device clearly looks like it is substantial in weight, and any such wind would surely be felt like a helicopter wind on the ground.

The foremost research being done on ion wind (lifters) by Jean-Louis Naudin has shown that any ion propulsion system requires a bulky external power supply attached to an ultralight tin-foil frame. It has been determined that ion-craft requires about one watt per gram lifted. If the entire drone re-creation weighed 50 pounds including power supply, then a battery capable of 22.6kW is required. That equates to 25 car batteries at full 75 AMP cranking current to produce that power, or a 30 HP engine would be required with a 100% efficient electrical generator to produce that high voltage and current. Today's technology does not allow for such a 22.6kW power source including fuel and weighing less than 50 pounds.

NASA has utilized ion-drive space vehicles containing an electrically accelerated propellant, and which are virtually unencumbered by gravity due to their orbital speed or distance from large bodies. However, the drones exist in Earth's gravitational pull as well as our relatively thick atmosphere.
**Is it magnetic repulsion?**

One theory is that the drone craft images are real, and that these devices could be put aloft using some sort of magnetic repulsion to the Earth's magnetic field or the field generated around electrical conductors suspended by wooden poles.

Using the Earth's field or electrical power line's field for suspension would require enormous magnetic fields to interact with. Any power lines in the vicinity would be severely affected. Recall a geomagnetic storm in Quebec on March 13, 1989 where voltage regulation problems and severe power outages occurred.

In the case of using the Earth's magnetic field as a suspension system, enormous DC magnetic fields would be required. All metallic objects in the vicinity, including the camera, would be affected.

In the case of using the field around the AC electrical wires as a suspension system, enormous AC magnetic fields would be required. High currents would be induced into the camera severely affecting the normal operation, if not destroying it. Also any piece of metal like any jewellery, or metallic fasteners would be heated to painful burning levels.
Is it a viral ad for the Microsoft game HALO 3?

Since the major flap of photos came out in May 2007 (11 months ago), every claim of viral advertisement has turned up empty. Usually advertisers bring their product to market within a few months of such viral marketing. The Halo 3 game was released on September 25, 2007.

The only evidence that was used to start this rumour was a circle diagram in an advertisement for the Microsoft game HALO 3 which bears a similarity to a circle with lines going through it on the CARET Language Analysis Primer.

Illustration 8: Advertisement for Microsoft game HALO 3 compared to CARET LAP diagram
**Did Hello Kitty do it?**

A web page (27.com) appeared with an image of the drone in the background and a picture of the famous Hello kitty in the foreground. No connection was ever made to indicate that the webmaster of that page had anything to do with the drones except that they liked to use it as a background image for fun.

**Why can't more people see the drones?**

Isaac's report and other testimony stated there is an invisibility effect. The "aircraft" is reported to become invisible, so detection by many people does not play in this story. Yes, that does sound fantastic, but humans barely have the understanding of what light, reflection, and refraction is. Is light a particle or a wave, and scientists are just now finding ways to make a limited bandwidth of such rays invisible, so, that is not out of the realm of possibilities in the distant future.
**Did AlienWare do it?**

Alienware admitted in an email that they did not start the Isaac photos for their line of products and advertising contest.

Alienware associated themselves with the drones using a common form of viral marketing called 'contextual advertising'. This is a gimmick that the consumer falls for, and once an association has been made, it creates free advertising across the Internet for those manufacturers and their vendors. Consequently, those manufacturers benefit monetarily and did nothing but associate themselves.

The following letter was sent from Alienware to OMF member *banzai* in regards to a query on the Alienware connection:

```
Hi ___________,

Thank you for your email. It's great to hear that our promotion has reach out to you and your Forum group regarding the CARET document. Alienware did NOT create the information regarding this phenomena or the CARAT linguistics. This is NOT an intellectual property of Alienware. The information has anonymously spread throughout the web for some time. We have taken the CARAT linguistics and have applied this as a marketing tool to draw attention to our promotion.

Regards,

(name withheld)

Director of PR Communications

Alienware Corporation"
```
Is it CGI?

Kris Avery (aka Saladfingers on many Internet forums), owner of Kaptive Studios spent many months trying to prove that the drones where a hoax because of his first impressions of eyewitnesses original photographs.

Kris’ efforts, while unsuccessful, were brought together in a few videos posted in many places and fooling many people that the drones are CGI. Attempts where made by Kris to tell people that his reproductions came after the original drone photographs and reports, but this point still has not been clear enough for everyone to understand.

In the end, Kris admits that he was unable to duplicate the detail and quality of the original craft photos.

There happens to be a musical band called Drone which existed long before this case, and has nothing to do with the California drones. The term Drone was attached to this case by Linda Moulton-Howe because she thought the craft where military unmanned aircraft (drones).

The music to the video above was created before the video by an OMF forum member going by the alias droneonline. He ripped audio spoken by Linda Moulton-Howe and guests from an interview on Coast to Coast AM then put that to snazzy music.
Some press reports say it is fake?

Because some news agencies receive their stories from a news-wire, it cannot be expected in those cases that extra investigation will be done. In fact some reporters may have their own pre-conceived notions about the case. Some news agencies showed the Birmingham Alabama drone as if it was the Raj photograph, later another agency copied the same mistake. They may not have time to research all the details of the case as deadlines can be very aggressive in that business.

At the end of the CBC As It Happens interview on the drones case, the reporter says call me when you see any little green men. This does little to acknowledge the seriousness of the investigation.

Do not always believe the main stream media carte blanche, watch for balanced reporting, and analyze this case for yourself to come to your own conclusions.

Is it a military device?

The Isaac report states that the Extra-terrestrial device was being examined by a branch of the Military in 1984. There is no evidence that the military was capable of learning how it works, only how to turn it on.

If the military or any responsible government organization was in control of this device, it seems unlikely that they would fly it over populated areas for people to see or potentially be harmed by if it crashed into electrical wires. Testing of secret military projects is usually performed on secure air-force bases.

The SR-71 was hidden, why not these drones too?

Sure, the military can hide secrets, but they have only ever hidden devices that utilize existing public technology. The SR-71 is a propeller based jet engine device that uses a microwave mirror and absorber to minimize radar detection. All of the principles of propulsion, reflection, and absorption have been known to man for centuries before. The drones clearly do not use a wind-passing propulsion scheme, nor do they employ simple reflection and absorption as a means for becoming invisible.
**Is it man-made?**

We have ruled out the possibility of it being man-made (other details elsewhere in this FAQ). Modern science only has three methods to keep untethered objects afloat:

![Illustration 12: Only three human-known methods of putting and staying aloft](image)

1. Rocket: By application of Newton's third law, "Every action has an equal and opposite reaction", motion is achieved by exhausting a propellant from a chamber.

2. Balloon: Using the principle of buoyancy, heavier air displaced by the balloon causes the balloon to float and/or rise.

3. Air-screw and Airfoil: In a helicopter, one air-screw pulls the craft upwards while a second air-screw prevents the craft from spinning wildly out of control. In an aeroplane, at least one air-screw is used to pull the craft forward while the resulting blown wind on the airfoil wings causes lift. A jet aeroplane also uses an air-screw to pull the craft forwards.

The combined drone photographs and witness testimony exclude all of the above principles.
The Font

The following is a reference compilation of all the characters used on photos and the LAP.
**Is the language from *The Matrix* movie?**

Some characters are similar to characters used in the science fiction movie, The Matrix. No conclusion can be drawn, only more questions; Why didn't the hoaxer use every character from this character set? Why did they invent new characters?

It is true that the variant of `7` and slash characters has a similarity to the Matrix Font, as well as almost every other character set used by humans. No correlation can be made for this small similarity, and this seems like a weak argument for proof of a hoax.

There are only so many strokes that someone can make with a pen, eventually characters in many languages will start to overlap.
Is the language Katakana?

Some characters are similar to characters used in the Japanese Katakana character set.

Katakana was developed around 1000 AD when technical people listening to a Chinese lecture decided that they had to take notes quicker than the writing of Chinese would allow. They literally invented shorthand by creating a Katakana character that was a portion of the Kanji-like character as you can see in the red marked section below from Wikipedia (release needed to commercialize)
Is it a large model suspended by a crane

A crew of many would be required. to hire and operate a crane and monitor the crane, let alone make such a huge model. The Stephen sighting drone appears to be at least 100 feet long and possibly 150 feet above the ground, such a large crane should surely be noticed, and leave large tracks in the ground. The Stephen sight is publicly known and can be examined by anyone for tracks.
**Is it a small model photo-composited onto a real background?**

While it is quite possible to create a model and photo-composite that onto a background, after 10 months of analysis by thousands of Internet forums users, no evidence has been found to indicate that the drone craft image features are that of anything smaller than expected in the photos. Illustration 18 shows highly detailed models made by professional model makers, the realism of the cockpit is clearly not scaled appropriately in some areas.

![Illustration 18: Models showing features of smallness](image)

To be fair to the hoax side, in the case of the drones, something so unworldly might just be easy to create a model of because nobody has ever seen such a thing to compare to before.
**Why is the EXIF missing from some photos?**

The only conclusion is that EXIF data is stripped by many programs before emailing and many graphics programs take over the MS-Windows file-associations to camera-hardware so that the image must pass through them and unfortunately some new EXIF tags that are not recognized by the software are not recoded back to the file for saving or emailing.

Also many cameras are manufactured with various firmware, so unless the exact same camera model with same firmware is located and put through the same software upload path, no conclusion can be made regrading missing EXIF or meta data.

To date (almost 9 months after the case started), not one of 1000’s of Internet forum posters on various forums has proved the missing EXIF mystery. In fact many have shown the above software issue to be true.
Why are the witnesses anonymous?

I have personally interviewed three credible drone eye-witnesses on the telephone, their reasons to stay anonymous are varied and include the following:

1. Fear of ridicule and harassment
2. Fear of loss of reputation resulting in job loss.
3. Fear of loss of friends
4. Fear of being shunned by their religious community
5. Fear to face the reality of non-human presence
6. Fear of being silenced by MIB

Essentially, their sighting has changed their outlook on life. Try putting yourself in their place and analyze your own reactions to such an event. Don't just make a snap judgement that you would come forward, think about how it would affect every aspect of your life; family, friends, career, etc.

It is by the work that we do on this case, to try and bring more witness stories to the surface, that the ridicule factor may be reduced and their fear might also be reduced. If enough witnesses come forward, one of them may point us to the concrete evidence to allow the case to be closed.

Is it an April Fools joke?

While the Chad sighting was in April (late April 2007), most other images where taken in May 2007.

Why can’t I find CARET on Google before 2007?

According to Isaac, the project was secret, so there is no reason to put any such documentation in the public domain for Google to crawl. In fact, I personally know many people (existing during 1984-2008) whose names do not show up on Google, that does not invalidate their existence.
**Are there any pictures of the drone away from trees or wires?**

A concern is that some of the photographs show the craft close to trees, and that would suggest a model suspended in a tree or electrical wire. In fact there are many images of the drones that are not close to supporting structures:

![Illustration 20: 11 of 12 photos taken by Ty where craft is not in-line with trees](image)

![Illustration 19: Four of Raj’s photographs where craft is not in-line with trees or wires](image)

![Illustration 22: Tahoe photograph where craft is not in-line with structure](image)

![Illustration 21: Stephen photograph where craft is not in-line with trees](image)
Are there any pictures of the drones near trees or wires?

A concern is that some of the photographs show the craft in the open, and that would suggest a pasted photo hoax. In fact there are many images of the drones that are close to trees and wires:

*Illustration 23: Drones near objects*
What is radiosity?
Right after the Isaac photos where released, CG experts said they noticed radiosity in the photos and therefore the CARET photos are a CG creation. Interestingly, radiosity was perfected at Cornell University in 1984, the same year the Isaac papers where supposedly made. Nevertheless, radiosity is an invention that makes a computer generated image look like a photograph, so the hoax believers are saying that the CARET photographs look like photographs.

Illustration 24: Caret examined device

Illustration 25: Radiosity comparison

Illustration 26: Radiosity progression

Detecting radiosity may be fairly easy if it was done in few passes, no such confirmation of radiosity detection was ever demonstrated with the CARET photographs.
Can the alien language be matched to any human languages?

It was theorized that a hoaxer used human language text and converted the characters into his made-up alien character set. And if this was true, secret key text may be found hidden in the lettering of either the LAP or the drone photographs. For this study, all of the alien characters must be tabulated and put through some statistical modelling algorithm and compared against usage frequency of characters in other human languages. If a human language was used, then its usage of certain characters would match up quite nicely with the alien character usage. No such complete connection was ever found, no such clearly visible secret phrases where ever found. Two extensive studies where done by users elevenaugust and ottoth of OMF in the thread titled Isaac diagram analysis.

One forum poster, woy, imaginatively noticed a word in the under sampled blur of the CARER LAP diagram, as you can see here, the word STEAL can be barely recognized in the fuzzy image.

Another forum poster noticed a similarity to the phrase TO PROTECT in the PACL retrieved device.
Why are the crafts so light from the bottom?

Questions where posed about sunlight coming from above, therefore the bottom of the craft should be dark. Outdoors, many factors affect the illumination of objects, especially light reflection from the ground. It is very normal to have lighted downward facing objects when outdoors.

Illustration 29 shows such natural aircraft being illuminated from below including one on the ground.
Hasn’t AboveTopSecret.com already proved this as a hoax?

To date, a concise summary of their findings has not been compiled, please view the threads on their website for details.

Is it a viral ad for Star Wars episode IV?

Star Wars episode IV was released on May 25, 1977. The drones never appeared until May 2007. Most advertising come out before or during a product release, not 30 years difference. Shown here is the reason for making the claim of Star Wars viral ad.
What are all the hoax theories

1. Talented CGI artist or group makes photographs
   This theory addresses one aspect of the entire case, the photographs. However, it has been concluded by argument on Internet forums that the total cost would be in the millions of dollars to pull this off with multiple reports, different voices, higher tech CGI than seen in most CGI movies which has not adequately been replicated by CGI experts. This same group of artists would then have to disseminate the information using multiple IP addresses, and various voices all now recorded made public on national radio.

2. Talented model maker(s) makes fakes
   This theory addresses the photo aspect of the case. However, it has been concluded by argument on Internet forums that this is impractical due to very high production costs involving many people, expensive cranes, document writers, photo touch-up specialists, multiple voice witnesses, and Internet savvy people able to fake multiple IP address locations.

3. Secret Military/Government/Industrial organization make real craft
   The theory is that some organization secretly created real drones and are flying them around for witnesses to see, hear, and photograph. This theory also assume that the witness testimony is somewhat if not totally correct. However, no such technology exists to keep such a craft aloft, and the military has only used known propulsion technology in all their secret projects ever released. At best, the military might be able to fly a captured craft like a child flies a remote controlled air plane, with no knowledge of the inner workings.
What would motivate a hoaxster to do this?

As we have virtually eliminated the hoax possibility in the rest of this document, the following ideas are only supplied for completeness of our investigation:

1. A secret government dis-info campaign to prepare or distract from disclosure.
   
   It is believed by some people that a national or international covert agency is in possession of knowledge of secret alien existence on earth (See The X-Files movie for a re-enactment of this theory). This organization with enormous financial backing is slowly acclimatising the general population to the reality of alien presence by showing fake pictures and fake documents, or to distract from investigation by releasing obvious fakes and draw attention away from anything possibly real.

2. Studying human behaviour

   It has been postulated that a class project at an educational institute has been doing experiments in mass psychology and that a report will be forthcoming. To date, no such report or leaked information from students has been revealed.

3. Someone who enjoys fooling people

   Because many people devote resources to the pleasure received by fooling people, it has been theorized that this case is an elaborate hoax by a one of more people secretly enjoying their superiority in tricking so many others for such a long time (almost a year now).
**What evidence is there to prove the drones are real?**

There is no physical artifact available for examination.

There are no witnesses that will allow public scrutiny indefinitely.

There is only:

1. Multiple reports on the Internet
2. Multiple reports by telephone to LMH, C2CAM, and DRT
3. Multiple photographs from multiple witnesses
4. A detailed report from allegedly stolen government papers
5. Testimony from an engineer claiming the viability of government report style

**What evidence is there that proves the drones are a hoax?**

There is no evidence that proves a hoax, and no one has come forward or been caught to claim responsibility for a hoax.

The only proof that appears to be unanimously agreed upon by hoax-believers, is that, graphics expert David Biedney has proclaimed it to be a fake. His resume and reasonings can be found on the Internet.

Here are more reasons for suspecting a hoax:

1. Witness anonymity: It is argued that at least one of the many witnesses should be able to stand trial for their testimony, but none have remained in the limelight and offered themselves for public scrutiny. After a period of time the witnesses desired to be anonymous, many of them where initially known to the people they reported to, with real name, telephone number, address. Raj provided his full name and communicated via email for a while. The fact that they are anonymous to the public now could be for many reasons.
2. Reported to Internet only: It is argued that the Internet is the breeding ground for hoaxes. However, there are no listings in the telephone book for UFO reporting. And to be clear, the Chad sighting was reported to a radio station (by email) since it is easier these days to use email, and colour photos cannot be passed through a telephone easily.
3. Police report: It is argued that at least one of the witnesses should have contacted the police. The fact is that we do not know if a police report was made as all police stations in California have not been contacted yet. Only Chad had concern for safety about his pregnant wifes health. The police community has no reason to interact with the Internet community in this seemingly harmless case.
4. Beautiful, crystal clear daytime photos where two different photographers were both amazingly able to get underneath the thing and capture the "glyphs" on the fins as well, another photographer captured the drawing on the large drone's panels. Ufologists are used to seeing images of fuzzy dots in the night sky. Therefore the case is dismissed by some based on its statistical improbability.
5. No actual raw image data directly from a memory card. However, while the witnesses required anonymity, legal proof by memory card would require them to reveal their identity, and would
still prove nothing because files on memory cards can easily be altered externally by copying to the card.

6. The evolution of the Drone as the sightings progressed: Over the period of about six weeks, each report was more interesting than the last. In fact the most recent report from Cam shows the drone to be of a much simpler design.

7. Stephen's sighting location: Technically speaking Stephen's sighting did not occur in Big Basin Park. He stated that he was travelling in the "in the area around Big Basin". In actuality he was on a road called Big Basin Way in a forest at a point 6.4 miles or a 22 minute drive from the Big Basin Redwoods State park.

8. Chad sighting location: reported by LMH to be around Bakersfield but it has been proved otherwise. The nature of this error is unclear. Chad stated in his testimony provided to C2CAM "Location: I would prefer not to say for now". As the recently hired private investigators recall LMH asked Chad where the photos were taken, Chad said "central California", upon further questioning he said "Bakersfield".

9. The Isaac CARET story is too amazing: It is argued that the story, photos, and stolen documents presented by Isaac are too fantastic be believed. This argument is simply another case of using statistics to throw out evidence.

Is it a hologram?

Holograms require a surface to project their light onto, a cloud might work. But todays technology is incapable of projecting a full colour hologram into the open air.

What is the goal of DRT?

To discover the truth about the Drone case.

If a hoax, expose it.

If real, try to answer the question  Are we alone?  with proof. To achieve this goal, we want to make it comfortable for witnesses to come forward so that someone will help locate a drone or drone artifact. Only a working artifact will be solid proof.

If the government is involved in a cover-up or involved with the technology itself (CARET or flying the drones themselves), attempts will be made to expose it. Of course this will be achieved automatically if the drones are proved to be real since the Isaac story would be undeniably real.
Hasn’t the drones case been statistically proved false?

Statistics is a tool to prove the probability of something based upon past known data. Here are the arguments used by statisticians to prove the drone case invalid:

- The drone pictures are too clear. The majority of UFO pictures are fuzzy dots in the night sky.
- Drone case witnesses don't want to come forward. So many other UFO witnesses have come forward.
- The entire drone story is too fantastic. No such case in the past has presented so many claims.

By the logic of statistics, virtually nothing new can ever be proved because it never existed in the past to compile a database of knowledge on.
Haven't CG experts shown evidence of hoaxing in jagged lines?

Interestingly, the spires in the Chad photo shows jagged lines while the craft and trees do not seem to exhibit this characteristic. It was the conclusion of some CG experts that after examining Illustration 31, this is clear evidence of hoaxing.

Notice Illustration 32 showing a natural image of a landscape with wires exhibiting the same jagged effect.

Illustration 31: Jagged edges on spires, while tree branches are apparently smooth.

Illustration 32: Natural photograph showing jagged edges on high-contrast straight lines.

Aliasing is the reason for this effect in any digitally pixel-sampled photograph. Aliasing is more noticeable where high-contrast straight edges exist at an angle to the pixel direction. Illustration 33 is an example of such aliasing.

Illustration 33: Example of aliasing
Why don't trees move in five months?

When photos of the Stephen sighting were provided five months after the original drone sighting in that area, it was rumoured that the new photographer must have been the hoaxer because the coniferous trees in the image did not appear to change at all in that five month period. Further photos by private investigators were made at the 10 month mark, these new photos confirmed that coniferous trees viewed from over 500 feet do not appear to change very much over a long period of time.
**Why are they here?**

While it has not been proved beyond a shadow of doubt that the drones physically exist. Here are a few theories that have been brought up:

**Alien theories**

1. Earthquake fault-line monitoring and/or repair system
2. Life form detection and/or; monitoring, sampling, protection
3. Precedence to a future religious or alien event

**Military theories**

1. Experimental surveillance system
2. Experimental weapons system
3. Experimental propulsion system

**Hoax theories**

1. Fun of fooling people
2. Studying human behaviour

At present, nobody knows enough about this case to determine if there is a benign or hostile intention to phenomenon.
**Why is there no yellow light?**

All the metal or plastic objects seen on the pole are enlightened with a yellow/orange light (that's normal considering the sun elevation and the shadows almost horizontal), no part of the drone respects this colour, rather bluish.

In fact, reflected and absorbed colour analysis is sometimes used to determine the surface properties of objects, however, no conclusion can be drawn on hoax/real of Raj's photographs based on this analysis method. Illustration 34 shows removed Yellow light to determine if the craft has no yellow, you can clearly see that the colour of the craft and the pole change dramatically. Simply put, objects that contain the yellow portion of the spectrum in their surface will reflect yellow light.

*Illustration 34: Yellow colour removed to indicate yellow component.*